| | | 1 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | PUBLIC COMMENTS | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2011 | |
| 6 | 2:00 p.m. | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | In the Matter of the Applications for a Route Permit and | |
| 10 | In the Matter of the Applications for a Route Permit and Certificate of Need for the 115 kV Glencoe-Waconia Transmission Line Project | |
| 11 | Transmission Eine Trojece | |
| 12 | PUC Docket Numbers: E002/TL-10-249 | |
| 13 | E002/CN-09-1390 | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Clay Community Building 327 Elm Street West | |
| 18 | Norwood Young America, Minnesota | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | | 2 |
|----|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | SPEAKER | I N D E X | PAGE |
| 2 | Richard Stolz | | |
| 3 | Tim Leonard Larry Gasow | | 3 5 13 |
| 4 | Larry Gasow | | 13 |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

MR. BILL STORM: I've got one card. I
will ask Richard Stolz.

MR. RICHARD STOLZ: Yes.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay. I'll ask you to come up to the mic and I'll turn the mic on for you. And, again, we do have a court reporter here and it's not for any reason to intimidate anybody. The court reporter helps me out because then I know exactly what you've asked and then I can get that answer to you.

So state your name and spell your name and then ask your question or make your comment.

And we'll go with a show of hands after that if anybody else has something.

MR. RICHARD STOLZ: My name is Richard Stolz, S-T-O-L-Z. And I have a question rather than a comment. And I read the application and there are alternative routes 3A and 3B. And I think those are the anticipated -- I don't know whether they call it de-engineering, or at least I believe those lines are going to be removed.

And I just wonder, one, if that's true; and will some other method of feeding the sub in Young America take place? I'm just not sure how those two lines -- it was mentioned in the slides

that if there's distribution lines on it, the poles will probably be cut off, which makes sense. But if there's no distribution lines, will the poles be removed and gone?

So my question is on the north/south line coming into the Young America sub and the east/west line going out of the Young America sub, if there's no distribution lines, will the poles be removed? And in particular, the one north/south, what's going to happen with that line? It's not clear to me on those lines what's going to happen. So that's the question I've got.

MR. TIM ROGERS: Those are good questions. We still have to design things. I believe the distribution will stay on these poles that go east and west through these backyards. And so the idea would be to take out the transmission line, the upper portion, and leave the poles in place.

We still have a 69 line that needs to run north and south into the Young America substation. So this transmission line will stay in place and then -- but there is a little segment here where we would -- I'm not sure if there's distribution on it, but we would no longer need the 69 there because we

| 1 | would have the 115 coming up this way (indicating) |
|----|--|
| 2 | and then we have the 69 being fed here. So there's |
| 3 | a little small segment that I believe the poles will |
| 4 | be taken out. |
| 5 | MR. RICHARD STOLZ: If there's no |
| 6 | distribution, will you remove the poles? |
| 7 | MR. TIM ROGERS: I don't see why not, but |
| 8 | we're going to have to look at this project in more |
| 9 | detail. It's in the very early stages, we have to |
| 10 | engage our distribution planners on this. But I'm |
| 11 | not really sure on that. |
| 12 | MR. RICHARD STOLZ: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. BILL STORM: Anyone else have a |
| 14 | question or a comment? |
| 15 | MR. TIM ROGERS: We did have an earlier |
| 16 | question about what substation we'd be tying into. |
| 17 | MR. TIM LEONARD: Do I have to go up |
| 18 | there? |
| 19 | MR. TIM ROGERS: Yeah, and say your name. |
| 20 | MR. TIM LEONARD: Tim Leonard, |
| 21 | L-E-O-N-A-R-D. |
| 22 | I have a question. I live by the West |
| 23 | substation and right now a 230 line goes right on |
| 24 | the south side of me and the new or the 69 goes |
| 25 | iust right I mean, right on my place. |

J

And now that one's going to be upgraded, so I'm wondering when that comes into the West substation, is that going to tie in with that substation there too and continue on?

And also, how will that power affect -that increased power with power on both sides of me
now? I have a dairy farm out there and how will
that impact the stray voltage situation? I know
last time when I put up a hoop shed out there, I had
Xcel Energy out. And I had an issue with arcing
from the 230 line to my hoop shed and there was
about a half-inch steady arc on that, and they
basically told me I shouldn't put it there.

And if you look on a -- if you print up a map of my place, I've got lines that I have no room for expansion overall. So I'm just wondering if there's going to be any kind of compensation or a document or a -- kind of to see if there's going to be any impacts on the existing facilities that are underneath this power line.

MR. BILL STORM: Okay. Before Xcel talks, in the environmental document that I will get up, and you can see from my list, stray voltage is an issue. It is a category that I will be investigating. So I will look at what Xcel Energy

is projecting or predicting their electric fields will be for their transmission lines and the relativeness of structures or people's businesses near that.

And we will discuss -- as we do in all our cases, we will discuss stray voltage and what the potential for anyone along this line to have an issue with stray voltage will be. So we'll discuss stray voltage in a standard frame and we will discuss it specific to this line.

Xcel, would you like to comment?

MR. TIM ROGERS: Sure. I think I have contact names for any issues you're having with stray voltage. I'm sure you already have talked with us.

MR. TIM LEONARD: Yeah. Actually, they've done -- when they first put -- actually, I think it was Xcel that put the three-phase line in right down 106th Street. And they had set up -- before they put that line in they set up a meter to test how much voltage was coming on to the farm and then they did it again for a period after, but that probably was seven, eight years ago or longer.

And my concern is not only when they put up this other 115 right on the other side of me,

I've got a 230 on this side and a 115 on this side of me (indicating). I mean, I've got juice coming in from both sides.

MR. TIM ROGERS: Yeah. I think that's a great idea to document the situation right now prior to this project, and we should be able to address any concerns or any changes to your system or your facility.

The transmission line that's being described today, we are tapping into the city of Glencoe's new Diamond substation, we're tapping into the Plato -- we're rebuilding the Plato substation. This project does not involve the Young America substation. And it does affect the West Waconia substation and we will have a little line in and out to the northwest of the substation. And that's that photo I showed you where our proposed lines go in and out.

MR. TIM LEONARD: Yeah. And then there was also on there the alternative routes, it looked like one of them would probably run down 106th Street versus staying along Highway 5, and that would even bring it closer.

MR. TIM ROGERS: Right. That alternate route is -- your situation is the main reason we

| 1 | thought, you know what, we should continue on |
|----|--|
| 2 | Highway 5 and then come down County 51. You've |
| 3 | already got the 230 there, we just thought it was |
| 4 | too tight an area and that's why we have our |
| 5 | proposed route which goes further northeast and then |
| 6 | down south on 51. |
| 7 | MR. TIM LEONARD: Well, how much power is |
| 8 | run through that three-phase line that goes right |
| 9 | down 106th; do you have any idea? |
| 10 | MR. TIM ROGERS: Any of my engineers |
| 11 | here? That is a distribution question and this is a |
| 12 | transmission project, but we can help you out I |
| 13 | guess. |
| 14 | Did you hear the question? |
| 15 | MR. SRINIVAS VERMURI: Yeah, but I don't |
| 16 | know the answer. |
| 17 | MR. PAUL LEHMAN: Is this a distribution |
| 18 | feeder? |
| 19 | MR. TIM LEONARD: Well, it's a |
| 20 | three-phase. They upgraded it. I think it used to |
| 21 | be I don't know how many volts it had before, but |
| 22 | they upgraded it. It's probably been seven, eight |
| 23 | years ago. |
| 24 | MR. PAUL LEHMAN: It's probably if |
| 25 | it's a distribution feeder, it's probably 13,800 |

volts. And those feeders tend to carry eight, nine,
ten, what we call MVA, millivolt amps. So that's
the general dimensions of a distribution feeder.

But that one there, I don't know specifically, but
that's typically what you'd see.

MR. SCOTT JOHNSON: It might be a 34.5 kV, it might have been upgraded to a 34.5. When the substation was rebuilt, that might have been part of that along 51 which was upgraded at that time and stuff. But somebody could take a look at that and I'm sure get back from distribution as to what voltage that's at.

MR. BILL STORM: Yeah. I want to remind you that we have a court reporter. So if you're going to speak, come up to the mic, state your name, and then have your speak.

I want to assure you that we will cover stray voltage in the environmental document. And once the environmental document is done, like I said, we will cover it from a generic standpoint and we will cover it from a specific standpoint of what is the likelihood of this project creating a stray voltage issue and anybody along the route.

Once the environmental document is complete, if you've signed up on our list, on our

mailing list, you will get a notice that the document's complete and it will also be posted on those web pages I showed you.

And then once you get it or you look at it on the web and you look at it and you read it, if you're not satisfied, the next step after the environmental review is we're back here with an ALJ, administrative law judge. And if you still don't feel that your issue has been covered to your satisfaction, that's another opportunity for you to bring it up to a judge.

And sort of related to that, when the PUC makes its decision on a route, it issues a route permit. The decision around the route permit is, first, they decide if the environmental document is adequate; meaning that I've covered the issues that I've scoped correctly.

So if you have a specific issue, like you're just talking about right now, how it affects your farm, I'd encourage you to send me a note on that. And say, Bill, I want this covered in your environmental review document. I want you to address how this impacts this farm right here, your farm, you know.

So when we get to the end and the PUC is

making their decision on issuing a permit or not, one of the things they determine is: Did I do an adequate job on the environmental report?

The next thing they determine is should a permit be granted to Xcel, saying okay, you can build the line.

And the third thing is where is that line going to be built. So that comes out in the permit.

The permit also has conditions and if an issue, whether it be an environmental impact issue or a human health impact issue, raises up to a point where the Commission or the ALJ -- the ALJ will write a report after he or she hears everybody's comments -- the PUC has the power to put conditions into their permit.

And a condition in that permit may relate back to something that needs to be done in regards to your situation. Maybe that "something" is an additional evaluation, who knows. It depends on what the record will flesh out. I mean, the record may flesh out that this line doesn't have any potential to affect you, you know. But I want you to know that you can come to that hearing and you can, again, voice your opinion if what you read in my document doesn't satisfy you.

1 MR. TIM LEONARD: (Nods head.) 2 MR. BILL STORM: Anyone else have any questions? 3 4 Please come up to the mic and state and 5 spell your name for the court reporter. MR. LARRY GASOW: Larry Gasow, G-A-S-O-W. 6 7 I'm with the McLeod County planning and zoning office. 8 9 Just regarding your study with the EAW 10 and things like that, will you be doing the study 11 for the entire line, which would include Glencoe 12 Power and Light and Xcel? So it would be from 13 Glencoe all the way through, or would you be 14 starting your study just at the Diamond substation 15 from there on out for Xcel? 16 I guess the county has no problem doing 17 that for the permitting on the line and the 18 substations, but just -- and, you know, if the PUC 19 wants to do the permitting, that's okay also, 20 depending on what Xcel and the Glencoe Power and 21 Light want to do. But my main concern is just that 22 the EAW, that that's going to be done for the entire 23 project, including Glencoe. 24 Thank you.

25

MR. BILL STORM: The EAW, which you're

used to as a county regulator, is not part of our process. Our environmental review is an environmental assessment on the routing side and an environmental report on the need side.

Like I said, I'm going to combine them.

When the rules say that if I do make a decision to combine them, we call it an environmental assessment then, we drop the ER.

But from the CON standpoint for this project, the Glencoe Power and Light and Xcel have applied for a CON for the whole thing. For the route part so far, Xcel has applied for a route permit up and to the point where Glencoe Power and Light takes over.

So the environmental report will describe -- I guess to the degree that I have information on what Glencoe is going to do, it will describe that. But it won't go into the deep analysis for the Glencoe portion. Because the Glencoe -- the rules allow a public utility, a municipal public utility to go local review. So instead of coming to the PUC for a permit, for a routing permit, they can go to their local county for their routing permit, if the county is set up and if the county wants to do it.

My understanding is that's the way
Glencoe is going to go with theirs. So I will not
be covering in detail the impacts of the Glencoe
routing because I don't know what they are right
now. I will try to incorporate to the level of the
knowledge I have at the time that I'm writing the
report this is the best guess of what Glencoe's
thinking about doing.

But unless they come to me for the permit, I won't know. If they come to you for the permit, if they go local review, they still have to comply with the power plant siting rules. That means they have to scope it, they have do an environmental document, it's not an EAW, it's an EA in this case, and there has to be public participation.

And so does that answer your question?

MR. TIM LEONARD: Pretty much, yes.

Thanks.

MR. BILL STORM: But I'm more than glad to work with you on it and share information back and forth. And I would encourage the Glencoe Power and Light, to the extent that they have the information available, get it to me so I can incorporate it into my document. But my

1

2

3

4 5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

understanding right now is they're going to go local review for that substation in that small section.

Any other questions, comments, concerns? I want to remind you that you have -- this computer's killing me today. I want to remind you that for scoping, for this segment where we're at right now, for scoping you have until March 23rd to get your comments to me. You can e-mail them to me, you can send them to me in a letter, you can use my form, you can use your own stationery, it doesn't matter.

Again, what I'm looking for is two One, if you, a local unit of government or a citizen, if you don't agree with Xcel that the route that they've laid on the table is the best route, you know, then come forward with route alternatives.

You can call either me or Suzanne and we can walk you through how to lay that out for us, how to help us with, Bill, I think you should go down --I'm making this up -- XYZ Street and turn here instead of there. So that's one thing: alternatives do you want me to include in my environmental report.

As I said, Xcel has applied under the

alternative process because they are at that threshold where they can, and the alternative process only requires them to put one route on the table. So if you want me to consider other routes, you need to bring those routes forward.

And it doesn't have to be full routes, it can be route segments. You can say I agree with 70 percent of what Xcel is doing, but here I would like you to evaluate what's the impact and cost of making a little jog.

If there's something, like I said a heron rookery, I don't know why I always use that, I guess I never run into one, you know, or something that you think is sensitive, either an environmentally sensitive area or a human-built area, a cemetery or some structure that has significance, bring it forth.

And at a minimum, it will be discussed in the environmental document. The decision might not go the way you want it to go, but at least it will get fleshed out in the environmental document. So alternative routes and issues, EMF, stray voltage, other issues that you want to make sure that I capture in my environmental document.

When the March 23rd date passes and the

scope closes, I will then take what comments I receive from state agencies downstream, local units of government, and the public, and I will put together a recommendation to my Commissioner, here's what I think the scope should be. And as I said, I laid out -- at this early stage I laid out what I think -- I should just start juggling -- what I think should be in that document.

If there's a category in here where you want to make sure -- if the category is not detailed enough and you want to make sure that there's a stray voltage category, not only do stray voltage, but talk about it right here at my impact. I have a building that's right next to the line. You know, explain your situation and I will make sure that that is discussed. Again, I want to put all the information on the table.

Once the comment period's closed, I make my recommendation to my Commissioner of what should be in the scope. If he concurs, he signs off on it and that is the scope that we move forward on. So when we get to the end and the PUC has to make a determination of did Bill do an accurate job on the environment document, they look back at that scope. So if it's not in the scope and it's not in the

environmental document, they're going to say it's adequate because it wasn't in the scope. So I thank you all for coming. Sorry about some of the technical problems. If you have any questions, you can contact me or Suzanne any time. No other questions? Okay. Thanks. (Public comment concluded.)